Monatshefte für Chemie Chemical Monthly

© Springer-Verlag 1995 Printed in Austria

Synthesis of 2-(2-Methoxyethyl)- and 2-(2-Thiomethoxyethyl)-aniline and Related Compounds

U. Siemeling*, T. Türk, and U. Hammermeister

Fakultät für Chemie, Universität Bielefeld, D-33615 Bielefeld, Federal Republic of Germany

Summary. 2-(2-Nitrophenyl)-ethanol (2) was methylated with dimethyl sulfate to give 2-(2-methoxyethyl)-1-nitrobenzene (3a) which then was reduced with hydrazine hydrate in the presence of *Raney* nickel to 2-(2-methoxyethyl)-aniline (1a). Compound 1a can be transformed into the N-monosilylated derivative 4 by lithiation with *n*-butyllithium and subsequent reaction with chlorotrimethylsilane. Reaction of 2 with *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride yields 2-(2-nitrophenyl)-ethyl *p*-toluenesulfonate (5), which reacts with sodium thiomethoxide to give 2-(2-thiomethoxyethyl)-1-nitrobenzene (3b). 3b was reduced with hydrazine hydrate in the presence of *Raney* nickel to yield 2-(2-thiomethoxyethyl)-aniline (1b). Ethyl (2-nitrophenyl)-acetate (6) could be dimethylated with methyl iodide in the presence of potassium *tert*-butoxide and 18-crown-6 to give ethyl 2-methyl-2-(2-nitrophenyl)-propionate (7). Reduction of 7 with lithium borohydride yields 2,3-dihydro-3,3-dimethyl-1*H*-indole (9) and 2-[(1-hydroxy-2-methyl)-2-propyl]-aniline (10).

Keywords. 2-Functionalized anilines; Hemilabile ligands; Imido ligands.

Synthese von 2-(2-Methoxyethyl)- und 2-(2-Thiomethoxyethyl)-anilin und verwandten Verbindungen

Zusammenfassung. 2-(2-Nitrophenyl)-ethanol (2) wurde mit Dimethylsulfat zu 2-(2-Methoxyethyl)-1-nitrobenzol (3a) methyliert, das sich mit Hydrazinhydrat in Gegenwart von Raney-Nickel zu 2-(2-Methoxyethyl)-anilin (1a) reduzieren läßt. Verbindung 1a kann durch Metallierung mit n-Butyllithium und anschließende Reaktion mit Chlortrimethylsilan in das N-monosilylierte Derivat 4 umgewandelt werden. Reaktion von 2 mit p-Toluolsulfonylchlorid ergab 2-(2-Nitrophenyl)-ethyl-p-Toluolsulfonat (5), das mit Natriumthiomethanolat zu 1-Nitro-2-(2-thiomethoxyethyl)-benzol (3b) reagiert. 3b wurde mit Hydrazinhydrat in Gegenwart von Raney-Nickel zu 2-(2-Thiomethoxyethyl)-anilin (1b) reduziert. Ethyl-2-(nitrophenyl)-acetat (6) kann mit Methyliodid in Gegenwart von Kalium-tert-butoxid und 18-Krone-6 zu Ethyl-2-methyl-2-(2-nitrophenyl)-propionat (7) dimethyliert werden. Reduktion von 7 mit Lithiumborhydrid lieferte 2,3-Dihydro-3,3-dimethyl-1H-indol (9) und 2-[(1-Hydroxy-2-methyl)-2-propyl]-anilin (10).

Introduction

Currently, hemilabile-functionalized spectator ligands are attracting a lot of attention [1]. In the course of our investigations concerning hemilabile aryl imido

726 U. Siemeling et al.

Fig. 1. Intramolecular stabilization of a reactive metal-ligand fragment in imido complexes based on anilines of type 1

ligands [2] we have been interested in the synthesis of potential precursors derived from anilines bearing a pendant electron pair donor group X in the 2-position. In this respect, the anilines 1a, b, which carry the chemically robust methoxy and thiomethoxy unit, respectively, are particularly attractive.

The two-carbon tether is expected to have the appropriate length for allowing the intramolecular stabilization of a reactive metal-ligand fragment attached to the imido unit by virtue of its electron pair donor group X (Fig. 1).

Furthermore, since it seems desirable to be able to influence the energetics of this interaction by using *Pearson*'s HSAB principle [3], the oxygen/sulfur variation should be of particular interest.

Results and Discussion

In this paper, we report on the synthesis of the hitherto unknown anilines 1a and 1b and of related compounds which were synthesized starting from commercially available 2-(2-nitrophenyl)-ethanol (2). The syntheses are outlined in Scheme 1.

The alcohol 2 can be cleanly converted to the corresponding methyl ether 3a by a classical Williamson synthesis performed under PTC conditions [4]. It is essential to use dimethyl sulfate instead of methyl iodide as methylating agent; with the latter, benzylic C-alkylation becomes an important side reaction [5]. An alternative route using a large excess of diazomethane as methylating agent gave yields inferior to the Williamson reaction. The resulting 2-(2-methoxyethyl)-1-nitrobenzene (3a) is reduced to the desired aniline in excellent yield by using hydrazine hydrate and Raney nickel in refluxing methanol. The aniline 1a can be monosilylated by deprotonation with one equivalent of n-butyllithium and subsequent reaction with one equivalent of chlorotrimethylsilane. In analogy to 1a and 3a, the monosilylated aniline 4 is obtained as a pale yellow liquid after vacuum distillation.

The synthesis of 1b (the sulfur analogue of 1a) involves transformation of the alcohol 2 into the corresponding tosylate 5; this can be achieved by use of standard conditions. Compound 5 is isolated as white crystals [6]. Treatment of 5 with sodium thiomethoxide in *DMSO* gives 1-nitro-2-(2-thiomethoxyethyl)-benzene (3b) [7]. Not unexpectedly, no intramolecular oxygen transfer from nitrogen to sulfur takes place in this compound [8].

Scheme 1. Synthesis of anilines 1a and 1b and of the silyl derivative 4

The identity of the nitro isomer 3b (as opposed to the nitroso isomer 3b') was confirmed by 13 C NMR and IR spectroscopy. The *ipso* carbon atom of 3b shows a 13 C NMR signal at 149.2 ppm, which is very close to the value observed in the case of nitrobenzene (148.4 ppm) [9]; the *ipso* carbon atom of nitrosobenzene resonates at 166.8 ppm [10]. The IR spectrum of 3b does *not* exhibit an NO stretching mode at $1500 \pm 10 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ characteristic of aromatic nitroso compounds; instead, an intense band is observed at $1525 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, which is characteristic for an aromatic nitro compound [11].

3b is reduced to the desired aniline 1b employing conditions essentially identical with those for the preparation of the oxygen analogue 1a; in contrast to observations made by others [12], no desulfurisation occurs under the reaction conditions applied, and 1b is obtained in excellent yield.

As a sideline of these investigations, we have also tried to block the benzylic position in such compounds by introducing two methyl groups, since it is anticipated that the relatively high acidity of the benzylic hydrogen atoms might cause some problems at a later stage of this work. A dimethylation can be achieved very conveniently by the procedure developed by *Prasad et al.* [13], starting from ethyl (2-nitrophenyl)-acetate (6) [14] and using potassium *tert*-butoxide in the presence of 18-crown-6 as deprotonating agent and methyl iodide as methylating agent; the desired dimethylated ester 7 is obtained in 88% yield after chromatographic work-up [15]. Unfortunately, we have not been able so far to obtain the alcohol 8 by selective reduction of 7.

728 U. Siemeling et al.

With sodium borohydride, either as such or in the presence of anhydrous calcium chloride [16] or aluminium chloride [17], no reaction is observed. With lithium borohydride in THF, a mixture of products is obtained; vacuum distillation leads to the isolation of 2,3-dihydro-3,3-dimethyl-1H-indole (9) and 2-[(1-hydroxy-2-methyl)-2-propyl]-aniline (10) in 15 and 28% yield, respectively (Scheme 2). Reduction with aluminium hydride in THF gives a similar mixture of products.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & 1) & t-BuOK \\
 & 18-crown-6 \\
 & 2) & 2 & MeI \\
 & -80^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 & toluene \\
 & 100^{\circ} \text{ C}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 &$$

Whereas the formation of 10 can be explained quite easily (reduction of both functional groups), the formation of 9 is somewhat surprising. We propose the mechanism shown in Fig. 2.

The nitro group of 7 is reduced to the amino function, and an intramolecular amide formation takes place; the amide is then reduced to the corresponding amine 9. Attempts to O-methylate the alcohol 10 to form the dimethylated analogue of 1a are under way.

Experimental

Air- and/or moisture-sensitive compounds were handled under an atmosphere of purified argon by using standard *Schlenk* techniques. NMR: Bruker AM 300 (300.133 MHz, ¹H, ext. *TMS*; 75.453 MHz, ¹³C, ext. *TMS*; 59.595 MHz, ²⁹Si, ext. *TMS*); MS: VG Autospec (70 eV); only characteristic fragments are listed; IR: Mattson Polaris FTIR; Elemental analyses: Microanalytical laboratory, Universität Bielefeld.

2-(2-Methoxyethyl)-1-nitrobenzene (3a)

15.3 g (91.2 mmol) of 2-(2-nitrophenyl)-ethanol (2) is dissolved in 320 ml of toluene. 248 mg (0.91 mmol) of benzyltriethyl ammonium bromide (TEBA), 23.0 g (182 mmol) of dimethyl sulfate, and 200 ml of an aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide (50%) are added to the solution, and the mixture is stirred under reflux for 6 h. After cooling to room temperature, the organic layer is separated and the aqueous layer is extracted with toluene (2×100 ml). The combined organic layers are dried with sodium sulfate. Volatiles are removed *in vacuo*, and the remaining brownish oil is distilled to give 14.8 g (90%) of a pale yellow liquid, b.p. 69 °C (0.05 mbar). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.16$ (t, $^3J = 6.5$ Hz, 2H, ArCH₂), 3.31 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.64 (t, $^3J = 6.5$ Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 7.32–7.40 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.51 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.89 (m, 1H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 33.0$ (ArCH₂), 58.8 (OCH₃), 72.0 (CH₂O), 124.5, 127.3, 132.5, 132.6 (arom. CH), 133.9 (arom. CCH₂) 149.6 (arom. CNO₂); IR (neat): v = 1520 vs, 1340 s, 1120 s cm⁻¹; MS (CI, isobutane carrier gas): m/z (%) = 182 [M⁺ + H] (10), 150 [M⁺-OCH₃] (100); C₉H₁₁NO₃ (181.2); calcd.: C 59.66, H 6.11, N 7.73; found: C 59.65, H 5.85, N 7.74.

2-(2-Methoxyethyl)-aniline (1a)

Raney nickel is prepared by adding 11.9 g (298 mmol) of sodium hydroxide pellets to a suspension of 4.07 g of Ni/Al alloy in 120 ml of water; the mixture is stirred at room temperature and then at 80 °C for 90 min each, decanted, washed with water (3 \times 40 ml) and finally with methanol (2 \times 40 ml). A solution of 19.0 g (105 mmol) of 3a in 75 ml of methanol is added to the catalyst and the mixture is heated to reflux. Then 54 ml of hydrazine hydrate is added dropwise, and the mixture is stirred under reflux for 1 h. After cooling to 0° C, the catalyst is filtered off and washed with methanol (2 × 50 ml). The solvent is removed in vacuo, the resultant oil is dissolved in 50 ml of dichloromethane, the solution is washed with water $(2 \times 10 \text{ ml})$ and dried with sodium sulfate. Volatiles are removed in vacuo, and the crude product is distilled to yield 14.2 g (90%) of a pale yellow liquid, b.p. 60 °C (0.2 mbar). ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3)$: $\delta = 2.78$ (t, ${}^3J = 6.3$ Hz, 2H, ArCH₂), 3.33 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.61 (t, ${}^3J = 6.3$ Hz, CH₂O), 3.95 (br. s, 2H, NH₂), 6.66–6.74 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.01–7.06 (m, 2H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 32.3$ (ArCH₂), 58.7 (OCH₃), 73.4 (CH₂O), 115.8, 118.5, 127.3, 130.2 (arom. CH), 124.7 (arom. CCH₂), 145.4 $(arom. CNH_2)$; IR (neat): v = 3435 vs, 3350 vs, 3020 s, 2930 s, 2880 s, 1625 vs, 1580 s, 1500 s, 1100 s cm⁻¹; MS (CI, NH₃ carrier gas): m/z (%) = 257 [M₂⁺ + H] (23), 152 [M⁺ + H] (100), 151 [M⁺] (57), 120 $[M^+-OCH_3]$ (16), $106[M^+-CH_2OCH_3]$ (47); $C_9H_{13}NO$ (151.2); calcd.: C 71.42, H 8.60, N 9.26; found: C 71.31, H 8.55, N 9.12.

2-(2-Methoxyethyl)-N-trimethylsilylaniline (4)

46.0 ml of a 1.59 M solution of *n*-butyllithium in hexane (73.1 mmol) is added dropwise with stirring to a solution of 11.0 g (72.7 mmol) of **1a** in 80 ml of diethyl ether at 0 °C. The mixture is stirred at room temperature for 1 h and then again cooled to 0 °C. 7.90 g (72.7 mmol) of trimethylsilyl chloride are added and the mixture is stirred at room temperature overnight. The precipitate (LiCl) is filtered off and washed with diethyl ether (2 × 5 ml). Volatiles are removed *in vacuo*, and the remaining brownish oil is distilled to give 9.62 g (60%) of a pale yellow liquid, b.p. 51–52 °C (0.01 mbar). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 0.35 (s, 9H, SiMe₃), 2.84 (t, ³*J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, ArCH₂), 3.42 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.66 (t, ³*J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 4.16 (br. s, 1H, NH), 6.75 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.84 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.08–7.16 (m, 2H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 0.2 (SiMe₃), 32.8 (ArCH₂), 58.6 (OCH₃), 73.0 (CH₂O), 115.8, 117.6, 127.3, 130.3 (arom. CH), 125.9 (arom. CCH₂), 146.0 (arom. CNHSiMe₃); ²⁹Si NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 2.6; IR (neat): v = 3300 s, 2850 vs, 1610 s, 1590 s, 1120 s cm⁻¹; MS (CI, isobutane carrier gas): m/z (%) = 223 [M⁺] (16), 208 [M⁺-CH₃] (7), 192 [M⁺-OCH₃] (7), 178 [M⁺-CH₂OCH₃], 73 [SiMe₃⁺] (14), 59 [CH₂OCH₃⁺] (100); C₁₂H₂₁NOSi (223.4); calcd.: C 64.52, H 9.47, N 6.27; found: C 64.30, H 9.19, N 6.30.

730 U. Siemeling et al.

2-(2-Nitrophenyl)-ethyl-p-toluenesulfonate (5)

A solution of 4.64 g (24.3 mmol) of *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride in 20 ml of pyridine is added dropwise with stirring to a solution of 2.94 g (19.4 mmol) of 2-(2-nitrophenyl)-ethanol in 25 ml of pyridine cooled to 0 °C. The mixture is stirred at 0 °C for 2 h and subsequently hydrolyzed by adding 200 ml of ice-cold water. The precipitate is filtered off and dried *in vacuo* to give 4.00 g (68%) of a white, microcrystalline powder, m.p. 48 °C. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 2.39 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.22 (t, ³J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, CH₂O), 4.31 (t, ³J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, ArCH₂), 7.25 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.33–7.41 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.52 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.63 ("d", 2H, ArH), 7.90 (m, 1H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 21.6 (CH₃), 33.1 (ArCH₂), 69.3 (CH₂O), 125.1, 127.8, 128.2, 129.8, 133.3, 133.4 (arom. CH), 131.7, 132.9 (quart. arom. C), 144.8 (arom. CSO₃), 149.1 (arom. CNO₂); IR (KBr): ν = 1610 m, 1600 m, 1530 s, 1350 s, 1340 s, 1170 s cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 275 [M⁺-NO₂] (2), 155 [M⁺-SO₂C₇H₇] (66), 136 [H₂CC₆H₄NO₂⁺] (67), 91 [C₇H₇⁺] (100); C₁₅H₁₅NO₅S (321.4); calcd.: C 56.06, H 4.70, N 4.35; found: C 55.93, H 4.80, N 4.58.

1-Nitro-2-(2-thiomethoxyethyl)-benzene (3b)

A solution of 3.72 g (51.6 mmol) of sodium thiomethoxide in 70 ml of *DMSO* is added dropwise with stirring to a solution of 15.8 g (51.6 mmol) **5** in 30 ml of *DMSO*. The mixture is stirred at room temperature for 1 h, hydrolyzed with 400 ml of ice-cold water, and the aqueous layer extracted with diethyl ether (4 × 30 ml). The combined organic layers are dried with sodium sulfate and volatiles are removed *in vacuo*. The crude product is distilled to yield 6.11 g (60%) of **3b** as an orange oil, b.p. $87-89\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ (0.01 mbar). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.12$ (s, 3H, SCH₃), 2.76 (m, 2H, CH₂S), 3.14 (m, 2H, ArCH₂), 7.34 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.52 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.90 (m, 1H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 15.5$ (SCH₃), 33.3, 34.6 (CH₂CH₂), 124.8, 127.5, 132.4, 133.0 (arom. CH), 135.3 (arom. CCH₂), 149.2 (arom. CNO₂); IR (neat): $\nu = 1610$ w, 1580 w, 1525 s, 1350 s cm⁻¹; MS (CI, isobutane carrier gas): m/z (%) = 198 [M⁺ + H] (47), 150 [M⁺-SCH₃] (60), 134 [M⁺-OSCH₃] (100); C₉H₁₁NO₂S (197.3); calcd.: C 54.80, H 5.62, N 7.10; found: C 54.90, H 5.60, N 7.07.

2-(2-Thiomethoxyethyl)-aniline (1b)

By a procedure identical to that described for the preparation of **1a**, 3.49 g (90%) of **1b** is obtained from 4.58 g (23.2 mmol) of **3b**; the crude product is already analytically pure. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.13$ (s, 3H, SCH₃), 2.73–2.81 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂), 3.67 (br.s, 2H, NH₂), 6.66–6.76 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.02–7.06 (m, 2 H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 15.7$ (SCH₃), 31.5, 33.4 (CH₂CH₂), 115.7, 118.7, 127.4, 129.6 (arom. CH), 124.8 (arom. CCH₂), 144.1 (arom. CNH₂); IR (neat): $\nu = 3450$ s, 3360 s, 3020 m, 2920 s, 2850 m, 1630 s, 1580 s, 1490 s, 1450 s, 1320 s, 1280 s, 1260 s, 1160 s, 1040 m cm⁻¹; MS (FAB+): m/z (%) = 168 [M⁺ + H] (100), 120 [M⁺-SCH₃] (78), 106 [M⁺-CH₂SCH₃]; C₉H₁₃NS (167.3); calcd.: C 64.62 H 7.83, N 8.37; found: C 64.20, H 7.86, N 8.32.

Ethyl 2-Methyl-2-(2-nitrophenyl)-propionate (7)

A solution of 2.06 g (9.85 mmol) of **6** [14], 3.08 g (21.7 mmol) of methyl iodide, and 0.70 g (2.46 mmol) of 18-crown-6 in 70 ml of THF is cooled to -80 °C. Then, 2.65 g (21.7 mmol) of potassium tert-butoxide is added to the solution and the mixture is allowed to warm to room temperature over a period of 4 h. After cooling to -80 °C, 30 ml of a saturated aqueous solution of ammonium chloride is added. The mixture is allowed to warm to room temperature and is extracted with dichloromethane (2 × 100 ml). The combined organic layers are washed with water (100 ml) and brine (100 ml) and dried with magnesium sulfate. Volatiles are removed *in vacuo*, and the crude product is purified by chromatography (silica gel, eluent: n-hexane/ethyl acetate); yield 2.05 g (88%) of a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.17$ (t, $^3J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H, CH₂CH₃), 1.65 (s, 6H, C(CH₃)₂), 4.11 (q, $^3J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H, CH₂), 7.36–7.42 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.57–7.59 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.88–7.91 (m, 1H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 13.8$ (CH₂CH₃), 27.4

 $(C(CH_3)_2)$, 46.3 $(C(CH_3)_2)$, 61.0 (OCH_2) , 125.5, 127.6, 128.9, 133.1 (arom. CH), 139.4 (arom. CCH₂), 148.7 (CNO_2) , 175.1 (COOEt); IR (neat): v = 2985 m, 2940 m, 2875 m, 1735 s, 1610 m, 1580 w, 1530 s, 1360 s, 1145 s cm⁻¹; $C_{12}H_{15}NO_4$ (237.3); calcd.: C 60.63, H 6.20, N 6.05; found: C 60.74, H 6.37, N 5.90.

2,3-Dihydro-3,3-dimethyl-1H-indole (9) and 2-[(1-Hydroxy-2-methyl)-2-propyl]-aniline (10)

480 mg (21.4 mmol) of lithium borohydride are dissolved in 30 ml of THF. Then, 2.00 g (8.40 mmol) of 7 and 10 ml of toluene are added to the solution, and the THF is distilled off at 100 °C. The mixture is stirred at this temperature for 4 h and at room temperature overnight. Volatiles are removed *in vacuo*. Then, 20 ml of water and 50 ml of diethyl ether are added. After equilibration, the organic layer is separated; the aqueous layer is neutralized with ammonium chloride and extracted with diethyl ether (2 × 20 ml). The combined organic layers are dried with sodium sulfate, volatiles are removed *in vacuo*, and the remaining oil is distilled to yield 190 mg (15%) of 9 (b.p. 37 °C (0.001 mbar)), m.p. 34 °C (Ref. [18]: 34 °C)) and 460 mg (28%) of 10 (b.p. 92 °C (0.001 mbar)) as pale yellow oils. The spectroscopic properties of 9 are identical with those reported in the literature (Ref. [19]). Data for 10: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 1.40 (s, 6H, CH₃): 3.70 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.75 (br. s, 3H, OH and NH₂), 6.60–6.64 (m, 1H, ArH), 6.77–6.82 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.02–7.08 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.23–7.26 (m, 1H, ArH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 25.3 (CH₃), 40.1 (CMe₂), 71.3 (CH₂), 118.6, 119.1, 127.3, 128.1 (arom. CH), 131.1 (arom. CCMe₂), 144.9 (arom. CNH₂); IR (neat): ν = 3360 s, 2965 s, 2875 m, 1622 s, 1500 s, 1445 s, 1300 m, 1040 s, 750 s cm⁻¹; MS (EI): m/z (%) = 165 [M⁺] (41), 134 [M⁺-CH₂OH] (100); C₁₀H₁₅NO (165.2); calcd.: C 72.69, H 9.15, N 8.47; found: C 72.66, H 9.22, N 8.30.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft*. We would like to thank Dr. S. Schrader for helpful comments and discussions. U.S. wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor P. Jutzi for his continued support and interest in this work.

References

- [1] For recent reviews, see: a) Bader A., Lindner E. (1991) Coord. Chem. Rev. 108: 27; b) Okuda J. (1994) Comments Inorg. Chem. 16: 185
- [2] Türk T., Siemeling U. (1994) XVIth International Conference Organometallic Chemistry, University of Sussex, The Royal Society of Chemistry (Abstract P 223)
- [3] Pearson R. G. (1963) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85: 3533
- [4] This compound has been previously prepared; however, details concerning its preparation as well as physical data have been unavailable: Rutkowska-Olma E., Sazala W., Kulawinek J. M. (1988) Biul. Inf. Barwniki Srodki Pomocnicze 32: 55; (1989) Chem. Abstr. 111: 57149v
- [5] This effect is well-known; see, for example: Dehmlow E. V., Dehmlow S. S. (1993) Phase transfer catalysis, 3rd ed. VCH, Weinheim, chapters 3.7 and 3.10
- [6] This compound has been previously prepared; however, details concerning its preparation as well as physical data have been unavailable: Torii S., Tanaka H., Murakami Y., Aizawa T. (Nippon Kayaku Co., Ltd.) (1988) Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho JP 6383066; (1988) Chem. Abstr. 109: P54658h
- [7] This compound has been previously prepared; however, details concerning its preparation as well as physical data have been unavailable: Braun H. J., Konrad E., Mayer H. (Wella AG) (1986) Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 166, 155; (1986) Chem. Abstr. 104: P108132j
- [8] Kucsman A., Kapovits I. (1985) Stud. Org. Chem. (Amsterdam) 19: 191 and references therein
- [9] Kalinowki H.-O., Berger S., Braun S. (1984) 13C-NMR-Spektroskopie. Thieme, Stuttgart, p. 286
- [10] Cox R. H., Hamada M. (1979) Org. Magn. Reson. 12: 322

- [11] Pretsch E., Seibl J., Simon W. (1981) Tabellen zur Strukturaufklärung organischer Verbindungen,
 2. Aufl. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, I205–I210
- [12] March J. (1992) Advanced organic chemistry, 4th ed. Wiley, New York, pp. 728-729 and references therein
- [13] Prasad G., Hanna P. E., Noland W. E., Venkatraman S. (1991) J. Org. Chem. 56: 7188
- [14] Watkinson J. G., Watson W., Yates B. L. (1963) J. Chem. Soc. 5437
- [15] The compound is listed in (1990) Chem. Abstr. 112: 198278u (Reg. No. 126802-50-4); however, in the corresponding publication, the synthesis of ethyl 2-methyl-2-(2-nitrophenoxy)propanoate and not of ethyl 2-methyl-2-(2-nitrophenyl)propanoate (7) is described: Sastry C. V. R., Rao K. S., Krishnan V. S. H., Ragosti K., Jain M. L., Verma R. K., Tripathi R. M., Singh P. P., Rao C. S., Kaushal R. (1989) Indian J. Chem. Sect. B 28: 882
- [16] Kollonitsch J., Fuchs O., Gábor V. (1954) Nature 173: 125
- [17] Brown H. C., Rao B. C. S. (1956) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78: 2582
- [18] Fleming I., Woolias M. (1979) J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1: 829
- [19] Nishio T., Okuda N., Kashima C. (1990) Helv. Chim. Acta 73: 1719

Received December 5, 1994. Accepted December 9, 1994